

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATÉ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,706	07/25/2001	Zhimin Shi	SHI01010	5182
7590	0 10/05/2004		EXAM	INER .
Jie Sha			WHIPKEY, JASON T	
Attorney-at-law				
195 North Harbor Drive, Suite 3504			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60601			2612	2
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	1 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/912,706	SHI, ZHIMIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jason T. Whipkey	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters 6 and 16 have been used in Figure 1 to indicate the same part. Reference characters 4 and 10 in Figure 1 have also been used to indicate the same part. Reference characters 2 and 8 in Figure 1 have also been used to indicate the same part. Reference characters 3 and 9 in Figure 1 have also been used to indicate the same part. Reference characters 3 and 7 in Figure 1 have also been used to indicate the same part.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters 1, 4, 6, 8, and 9 have each been used to designate to designate a plurality of parts in Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement

Application/Control Number: 09/912,706 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because Figure 1 includes reference character "18" not mentioned in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the reference sign "12" mentioned on page 6 of the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to

Art Unit: 2612

avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tani (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,007).

Regarding claim 1, Tani discloses:

A system of capturing and processing a digital image with distance information, comprising means for receiving reflective and deflective light beams from an object

Art Unit: 2612

(CCD 28, shown in Figure 2), means for sensing the received light beams to generate digital images with distance information (system control circuit 35 operates CCD drive circuit 30 and image signal process circuit 33 to generate images with distance information; see column 3, lines 55-58, and column 4, lines 13-20), means for storing the digital images (traditional visual image data is stored in image memory 34 in addition to distance information; see column 3, lines 54-55, and column 7, lines 8-11), and a central processing unit (image processing circuit 33) for analyzing and processing the digital image of distance information by using the distance information as a depth channel (step 123; see column 9, lines 33-34).

Regarding claim 2, Tani discloses:

the means for receiving the light beams includes at least a lens (11) and a CCD array unit (28; see column 3, lines 41-42), and the lens is attached to a housing of the system (see Figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, Tani discloses:

the storage means (memory 34) and the CPU (processor 33) are mounted within the housing (housing 10, as shown in Figure 2).

Regarding claim 6, Tani discloses:

A method of capturing and processing a digital image with distance information, comprising the steps of receiving reflective and deflective light beams from an object (via CCD 28, shown in Figure 2), detecting the light beams to generate digital images with distance information (system control circuit 35 operates CCD drive circuit 30 and image signal process circuit 33 to generate images with distance information; see column 3,

Art Unit: 2612

lines 55-58, and column 4, lines 13-20), converting the distance information into depth channel (the distance information output from CCD 28 forms a "third dimension" of the image data; see column 7, lines 23-26), storing the digital image with said depth information for future processing (the distance information is stored in image memory 34; see column 7, lines 8-11), and analyzing and processing the digital image with the desired depth channel (calculations are performed using the stored distance information; see column 9, lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 7, Tani discloses:

said step of processing includes adjusting said depth channel at a desired pixel of said digital image (the measurement accuracy of pixels is corrected; see column 9, lines 56-60).

7. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cambier (U.S. Patent No. 5,159,361).

Regarding claim 1, Cambier discloses:

A system of capturing and processing a digital image with distance information, comprising means for receiving reflective and deflective light beams from an object (video camera 32, shown in Figure 3; see column 10, lines 62-63), means for sensing the received light beams to generate digital images with distance information (an image of projected grid pattern 308 in Figure 16 captured by a computer via video camera 32 is digitized to produce a vector for each grid coordinate; see column 31, lines 18-20, and column 40, lines 29-31), means for storing the digital images (see column 10, lines 67-

Art Unit: 2612

68), and a central processing unit (image processor unit 44; see column 10, line 68, through column 11, line 2) for analyzing and processing the digital image of distance information by using the distance information as a depth channel (the vectors are compared with the original grid to produce elevation data, which is processed to produce a map; see column 40, lines 61-64, and column 43, lines 7-10).

Regarding claim 2, Cambier discloses:

the means for receiving the light beams includes at least a lens (12; column 9, lines 29-31) and a CCD array unit (unlabeled; see column 27, lines 64-65), and the lens is attached to a housing of the system (see Figure 3).

Regarding claim 4, Cambier discloses:

a grid beam light unit (a grid is projected using flash tube unit 34; see column 10, lines 58-60) being provided as an internal unit inside the housing (see Figure 3).

Regarding claim 6, Cambier discloses:

A method of capturing and processing a digital image with distance information, comprising the steps of receiving reflective and deflective light beams from an object (via video camera 32, shown in Figure 3; see column 10, lines 62-63), detecting the light beams to generate digital images with distance information (an image of projected grid pattern 308 in Figure 16 captured by a computer via video camera 32 is digitized to produce a vector for each grid coordinate; see column 31, lines 18-20, and column 40, lines 29-31), converting the distance information into depth channel (the vectors are compared with the original grid to produce elevation data; see column 40, lines 61-64), storing the digital image with said depth information for future processing (elevation data

Art Unit: 2612

can be stored for later analysis; see column 42, line 61, through column 43, line 4), and analyzing and processing the digital image with the desired depth channel (elevation data is processed to produce a map; see column 43, lines 7-10).

Regarding claim 8, Cambier discloses:

a step of transmitting grid light beams toward the object, including horizontal and vertical beam light (as shown in Figure 14, grid 300 with intersecting horizontal and perpendicular lines is projected on the subject; see column 20, lines 66-68) to generate a digital image of a group of pixels carrying depth information (the image of the intersecting lines of the grid is captured and processed to produce a vector for each grid coordinate; see column 21, lines 19-22 and column 40, lines 29-31).

Regarding claim 9, Cambier discloses:

the step of analyzing the distance information comprises the analysis of received grid light beams so as to determine depth information of the digital image (the vectors are compared with the original grid to produce elevation data; see column 40, lines 61-64).

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato (U.S. Patent No. 6,765,618).

Regarding claim 6, Sato discloses:

A method of capturing and processing a digital image with distance information, comprising the steps of receiving reflective and deflective light beams from an object (light is received by photographing lens 11, as shown in Figure 11), detecting the light beams to generate digital images with distance information (CCD 38 senses a distance

Art Unit: 2612

using each photodiode; see column 7, lines 38-41), converting the distance information into depth channel (data indicating the distance is output; see column 7, lines 38-40), storing the digital image with said depth information for future processing (the data is stored in three-dimension information memory 48), and analyzing and processing the digital image with the desired depth channel (the digital data is processed to produce a histogram and calculate "a distance from the camera to the desired subject image; see column 9, lines 55-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cambier in view of Girod (U.S. Patent No. 5,003,166).

Claim 5 may be treated like claim 2. However, Cambier is silent with regard to having the grid beam generated by a unit external to the housing.

Girod discloses, as shown in Figure 1A, a system that maps an object 12 captured by camera 24. Rectilinear grid 16 projected by source 10 causes a pattern 20 to be placed on object 12 (column 6, line 61, through column 7, line 5). As stated in column 3, line 64, through column

Application/Control Number: 09/912,706 Page 10

Art Unit: 2612

4, line 1, an advantage to having the projector separate from the camera housing is that, when combined with a beam splitting mirror, a parallax error caused by a difference in optical axes between the camera and projector can be eliminated, resulting in a more accurate distance map. For this reason, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to have Cambier's system include a projector separate from the camera housing.

11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato.

Claim 10 may be treated like claim 6. Additionally, Sato teaches that distance data is stored in three-dimensional information memory 48, while traditional image data is stored in image memory 71 (column 9, lines 33-36). However, Sato is silent with regard to red, green, and blue image data specifically being stored in image memory 71.

Official Notice is taken that digital cameras often capture red, green, and blue images of a subject. An advantage to doing so is that these three colors are also used in computer systems to represent color, thus making requiring fewer computations to process than other color schemes. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have Sato's camera store red, green, and blue image data.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

eastern daylight time, alternating Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2612

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason T. Whipkey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1819. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J**I W** JTW September 29, 2004 Page 11